MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): May I know why they do not wish to publish the original list?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): As it forms a part of the consultation with an officer of Government, they do not wish to publish it.

Dr. Raman Tampi's Claims for the Darbar Physicianship.

146. **MR. M. N. PILLAI** (*Trivandrum*): (1) Will the Government be pleased to state why the post of Darbar Physician is still kept vacant and the cause of the delay in making permanent arrangements?

(2) Will they be pleased to state why Dr. K. Raman Tampi's claims were overlooked and Mrs. Poonen Lukose was put in charge of the duties of the Darbar Physician?

(3) Is it not a fact that Dr. Raman Tampi is senior in service and pay to Mrs. Poonen Lukose?

(4) If so, will they be pleased to appoint Dr. K. Raman Tampi as the permanent Darbar Physician?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): (1), (2), (3) and (4) The questions do not arise now in view of the fact that the Medical Department is experimentally placed under an Administrative Board, and the post of the Darbar Physician is kept unfilled.

Registry of Land in the Quilon Cantonment.

147. **MR. M. N. PILLAI** (*Trivandrum*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether a plot of ground in the Quilon cantonment was applied for by Mr. Kunjuraman Vaidyan for the purpose of extending his Ayurvedic institutions and by the Bishop of Quilon for safeguarding the right of way to his compound;

(*b*) (i) whether Dewan Mr. T. Raghaviah inspected the place himself;

(ii) whether, as a result of his inspection, he directed the registry of the land, leaving sufficient space for a road, to Mr. Kunjuraman Vaidyan;

(iii) whether Mr. R. Krishna Pillai who officiated as Dewan ordered the registry accordingly in the name of Mr. Kunjuraman Vaidyan;

(iv) whether the order was not communicated to the taluk office; and

(*c*) (i) whether Mr. M. E. Watts, soon after his arrival, passed orders for keeping the order of registry in favour of Mr. Kunjuraman Vaidyan in abeyance;

(ii) if so, why it was done; and

(iii) whether he subsequently ordered the land to be assigned by auction?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Secretary to Government*):

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) (i) Yes.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(ii) On inspecting the place, Dewan Mr. Raghaviah found that the assignment of the area, leaving 5 cents for a way and a ruined shrine was unobjectionable, but he did not then decide how the remaining area should be disposed of.

(iii) Yes.
(iv) Yes.
(c) (i) Yes.

(ii) A representation was received from the Rt. Rev. Fr. Bernardine complaining against the proposed registry, and pending disposal of this representation, the order referred to in part (c) (i) was issued.

(iii) The Dewan inspected the area and decided that, in the interests of the public, the area required for a sufficiently wide road between the ruined shrine on the land and Mr. Kunjuraman Vaidyan's *vaidyasala* side of the land and also for enclosing the ruined shrine, should be reserved and that the remaining area available should be disposed of in public auction in two plots giving each plot a road frontage.

Appendix I A.

***Vide* Answer to Question No. 91.**

Statement showing the disposal of applications from depressed classes for registry of lands in the Vaikam taluk for the years 1097 to 1101.

Number of applications.	1097	1098	1099	1100	1101
Balance pending at the beginning of the year ...	27	330	66	7	13
Received during the year ...	740	82	187	113	95
Total No. of applications for disposal ...	767	412	253	120	108
No. of applications in which registry was allowed ...	26	71	80	51	36
No. of applications rejected ...	411	275	166	56	60
Total No. of applications disposed of ...	437	346	246	107	96
Balance pending at the end of the year ...	330	66	7	13	12

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly